Public Protection Cabinet

PROPOSED REORGANIZATION OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT LICENSING BOARDS

Presenters

- ODavid Dickerson, Secretary
- Gail Russell, Deputy Secretary
- OBarry Dunn, General Counsel

The Need for Reorganization

- Ensure Free Markets and Bolster Competition
- Promote Kentucky Professionals and Businesses
- Achieve Cost Savings
- Olmprove Compliance

North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners v. Federal Trade Commission



- 2015 U.S. Supreme Court decision.
- •North Carolina Dental Board sent ceaseand-desist letters to non-dentists that were engaging in teeth whitening practices.
- OBoards comprised of market participants must be supervised by the state.
- o"Market participants" include board members who hold active licenses in the field regulated by the board.

North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners v. Federal Trade Commission



Implications for State Licensing Boards:

- oIf a board is not actively supervised by the state, the board could be held liable for potential anti-trust actions.
- OPotentially, board members may be subject to personal liability.
- Treble damages.

FTC Guidance

The following do not constitute "active state oversight" according to the FTC:

- The entity responsible for supervising the regulatory board is comprised of active market participants in the occupation the board regulates;
- A state official monitors the actions of the regulatory board but lacks the authority to disapprove anticompetitive acts;
- A state official serves as an ex-officio member of the board but lacks the authority to disapprove competitive acts;
- •The state's attorney general or another state official provides advice to the regulatory board on an ongoing basis.

Organizations and Associations

Citizen Advocacy Center

OPTIONS FOR STATE LEGISLATURES	11	
OPTION #1 - PUBLIC MEMBER MAJORITY	11	
OPTION #2 - MULTI-PARTY BOARD MEMBERSHIP	16	
OPTION #3 – UMBRELLA BOARD WITH POLICY OVERSIGHT	20	
OPTION #4 - AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW BOARD TO OVERSEE RULEMAKING	22	
OPTION #5 - MAJORITY PUBLIC REVIEW BODY FOR SCOPE OF PRACTICE ACTIONS	24	
OPTION #6 - MAKE BOARDS ADVISORY ONLY	26	
OPTION #7 - EXPAND THE POWERS OF SUNRISE / SUNSET REVIEW	28	
OPTION #8 - GIVE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ADDITIONAL OVERSIGHT POWERS	29	

November 2015

CITIZEN ÁDVOCACY ČENTER
1400 SIXTEENIN STREET NW + SUITE #101 + WASHINGTON, DC 20036:
TELEPHONE (202) 462-1174 - Fax (202) 354-5372
www.cacenter.org = cac@cacenter.org

Organizations and Associations

Federation of State Boards of Physical Therapy



Combined Board Chairs & Administrators Meeting: "Adjusting to the Supreme Court Decision"

This article is based on a presentation by Jennifer Ancona Semko, Partner at Baker & McKenzie LLP, at the 2016 FSBPT Annual Meeting.

It's been a year and a half since the FTC vs North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners

As was expected, litigants have been suing. Everything from a routine disciplinary action to a more significant broad rule affecting the scope of practice are potential targets for antitrust claims. Most, but not all, have resulted in wins for the boards.

orders to non-dentists who were engaged in the teeth-whitening industry — those running the kiosks, those selling teeth whitening products to non-dentists, and the mall landlords.

Several complaints were lodged with the FTC, which launched an investigation in 2008. In June 2010, the FTC concluded the Board's actions were anti-competitive and filed an administrative lawsuit against the Board.

That triggered the question of state action immunity to antitrust liability. State action immunity to antitrust liability is a doctrine established by the Supreme Court in 1943 and elaborated upon in subsequent cases.

Up until the 2010 ruling, three categories of immunity existed. States are categorically immune to federal antitrust laws. Sub-state government entities also were exempt, as long as they were acting pursuant to a "clearly articulate policy to displace competition." The third category involved private entities. They were exempt if they were acting pursuant to a "clearly articulate policy to displace competition" and were "actively supervised" by the "clearly articulate policy to displace competition" and were "actively supervised" by the

© Federation of State Boards of Physical Therapy Spring 2017 Forum

Organizations and Associations

American Nurses Association



 States with boards comprised with a majority of members practicing in the profession will need to review their supervisory structures to determine if they are consistent with the guidance provided by the Court and make changes if necessary.

Section 1 of the Sherman Act and constituted unfair competition under the Federal Trade Commission Act. The FTC asserted that the Dental Board's actions deprived consumers of the important benefits of competition and provider choice. The Dental Board argued that as a state regulatory agency, it was exempt from FTC scrutiny under the theory of state action immunity. The Commission's <u>opinion</u> and <u>final order</u> found that the Dental Board was not exempt from FTC review and state action immunity did not apply because the actions taken by the Dental Board were not actively supervised by the State. The administrative law judge found the Dental Board liable for violating the FTC Act, and the full Commission affirmed that finding.

The Dental Board appealed to the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals (which upheld the Commission's decision) and then to the United States Supreme Court. The American Nurses Association (ANA) joined with the American Association of Nurse Anesthetists, American College of Nurse Midwives, American Academy of Nurse Practitioners and National Association of Clinical Nurse Specialists (Nursing Organizations) to file a friend of the court or amicus brief in support of the FTC's position. The <u>Citizen Advocacy Center also</u> joined the brief. ANA and the other Nursing Organizations urged the Supreme Court to find that licensing boards are not immune from liability if they unlawfully restrain the practice of other licensed professionals.

The Supreme Court's Decision

On February 25, 2015, the <u>U.S. Supreme Court</u> affirmed the 4th Circuit's decision and the position of the FTC by stating that "a state board on which a controlling number of decision makers are active market

Other States









Reorganization Plan

- State licensing boards will maintain individual identity.
- Similar licensing boards will be grouped together for purposes of oversight, staffing, and administrative support.
- The executive director, employed by the Public Protection Cabinet (PPC), will provide active state supervision to each group.
- Each board will continue to regulate and license its respective profession with oversight from the executive director and commissioner of the Department of Professional Licensing within PPC.



Reorganization Plan

Each individual licensing board will have the authority to:

- Grant Licenses
 - Licensing appeals will be considered by the executive director.
- Promulgate Administrative Regulations
 - •Regulatory changes will be recommended by the individual licensing boards and reviewed by the executive director to either approve or send back to the board with suggested revisions.
- **OInitiate Disciplinary Actions**
 - Individual licensing boards may initiate disciplinary actions. Upon request, disciplinary appeals shall be reviewed by the Executive Director, subject to KRS 13B.



Reorganization Plan

- **OBoard Members:**
 - Any changes in board membership or composition will be realized over time.
- oStaff:
 - Classified staff will become employees of PPC.
 - Interested individuals may apply for executive director or administrative coordinator positions.
- OBudgets:
 - The board will continue to propose a budget with oversight from the executive director.
- oLicensing Fees:
 - Licensing fees will not be impacted by the proposed reorganization and shall continue to be set by the boards.

Advantages

- Active State Supervision
 - **Cabinet Structure**
 - Budgets
 - Personal Services Contracts
- Efficiencies
- Cost Savings
- Ensuring Competitive State Markets

Proposed Oversight Groups

Medical

State Board of Medical Licensure

Health Equipment

Ky. Board of Prosthetics, Orthotics & Pedorthics

Ky. Board of Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy

Ky. Board of Ophthalmic Dispensers

Ky. Board of Durable Medical Equipment Suppliers

Ky. Licensing Board for Specialists in Hearing Instruments

<u>Nursing</u>

Board of Nursing

General Health

Ky. Board of Dentistry

Ky. Board of Optometric Examiners

State Board of Podiatry

Ky. Board of Pharmacy

Ky. State Board of Chiropractic Examiners

Ky. Board of Examiners of Psychology

Proposed Oversight Groups

Health Maintenance and Restoration

Board of Physical Therapy

Board of Occupational Therapy

Board of Speech-Language Pathology & Audiology

Board of Respiratory Care

Ky. Board of Licensure for Massage Therapy

Ky. Board of Licensure and Certification for Dieticians and Nutritionists

Ky. Board of Interpreters for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing

Ky. Board of Licensed Diabetes Educators

Behavioral Health and Human Services

Ky. Board of Alcohol and Drug Counselors

Ky. Board of Licensed Professional Counselors

Ky. Board of Licensure for Marriage and Family Therapists

Ky. Board of Social Work

Ky. Applied Behavior Analysis Licensing Board

Ky. Board of Licensure for Professional Art Therapists

Ky. Board of Licensure for Pastoral Counselors

Proposed Oversight Groups

General Professional Licensing

Board of Embalmers and Funeral Home
Directors

State Board of Accountancy

Ky. Board of Veterinary Examiners

Ky. Board of Licensure for Private Investigators

Ky. Board of Licensure for Long-Term Care
Administrators

Ky. Board of Barbering

Ky. Board of Hairdressers and Cosmetologists

Land Development

Ky. Board of Architects

Ky. Board of Landscape Architects

State Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors

Board of Registration for Professional Geologists

Stakeholder Meetings

- oGoals:
 - Transparency and Accessibility
 - Dialogue
 - Correct Misinformation
- Results:
 - o38 of 39 Boards
 - Board Members, Licensees, Associations, Trade Groups, Executive Directors, and Staff
 - OQ&A
 - oFollow-Up

Contact

Elizabeth Kuhn, Communications Director

Elizabethg.Kuhn@ky.gov

Barry Dunn, General Counsel

Barry.Dunn@ky.gov

Questions